## **ABSOLUTE CONFIGURATION AND CONFORMATION OF CONFERTOLIDE,**  A GERMACRANOLIDE ISOLATED FROM VERNONIA CONFERTA

**R. Toubiana\*and M.-J. Toubiana** 

Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, C.N.R.S., 91190-Gif-sur-Yvette, France

**and** 

**Kazuo Tori and Kaoru Kuriyama** 

**Shionogi Research Laboratory, Shionogi b Co., Ltd ., Fukushima-ku, Osaka, 553 Japan** 

(Received in Japan 23 Ikroh 1974; received in UK for publioation 1 April 1974)

**Recently,' we have reported the structure elucidation of a new germacranolide, confertolide (l), isolated from Vernonia conferta, 2 on the bases of chemical and spectroscopic evidence. We wish to report here the absolute configuration and the conformation of** 1 **detemtined by intramolecular internuclear Overhauser effect (NOE)3 and CD spectroscopic measurements. The stereostructures of 13-deacetoxyconfertolide (2)' and its dihydro derivative (3)' are also described.** 



The 100 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **1** in CDCI<sub>3</sub> shows isolated signals due to H-1, H-5, H-6, H-8, **H-13, H-14, H-15, and OAc (see the Table). On double irradiation of the H-15 singlet, integrated intensities of the H-l multiplet and the H-6 doublet signals were increased by 10 and IS%, respectively, whe-ear no changes in the other isolated signals were observed. Saturation of the H-5 doublet causes a collapsing of the H-6 dwblet and vice versa, but with no increase in its intensity. When the H-14 signal** 

## Table

Compound H-1 H-5		H-6	H-7 H-8 H-10	– H-11	$H-13$	H-14 H-15 OAc	
				5.24m 2.77d 4.90d --- 5.29d 2.06m --- 4.87s 0.93d 1.77s			2.06s 2.08s 2.08s
			5.26m $2.72d$ 4.82d-q --- 5.26d $\sim 2.2m$	--- 1.95d 0.93d 1.78s			2.08s 2.11s
3		4.93m 3.65d 4.21g 2.64m 5.14m		2.79d-q 1.14d 1.04d 1.45s			2.02s 2.04s

Chemical Shift (6) Data in CDCI<sub>3</sub><sup>a</sup>

a The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were taken with a Varian HA-100 spectrometer operating at 100 MHz in the frequency-swept and internal-Me<sub>4</sub>Si-locked mode. NOE experiments were carried out as described previously.<sup>4</sup> Accuracies of chemical shifts (6), coupling constants (J in Hz), and NOE enhancements (%) are about  $\pm 0.01$  ppm,  $\pm 0.1$  Hz, and  $\pm 2\%$  ( $\pm 5\%$  in the case of 3, owing to its small sample quantity), respectively.

was irradiated, no changes in the isolated signals were found, but the approximate position (6 2.06) of the H-10 signal was revealed. Thus, irradiation at 6 2.06 was found to cause a 12% increase in the H-1 signal intensity.

On the basis of these NOE experiments and from the J-values shown by these signals, one reasonable conformation for the molecule of 1 can be depicted as shown in FIG. (A), by examining Dreiding models,  $^{\dagger}$ However, even though the axial nature of H-8 could be assumed from the absence of long-range coupling between H-8 and H-6 signals,<sup>5</sup> the stereochemistry around C-8 is still ambiguous since NOE experiments cannot be done owing to the mutually close positions of the H-6, H-8, and H-13 signals. Examination of the spectrum of  $\mathbf I$  in a  $C_6D_6$  solution was also of little help in this problem.

The spectrum of the deacetoxy derivative 2 was therefore examined (see the Table). In this case, besides several results similar to those obtained with 1, the following confirmatory evidence for the stereostructures was provided by NOE experiments. Double irradiation of the H-8 signal caused a 7% enhancement in the H-6 signal intensity. On the other hand, no NOE was observed on the H-8 signal on saturation of the H-13 signal. Here, the large long-range <sup>4</sup>J value<sup>5</sup> (2.0 Hz) was found between the H-6 and H-13 signals. As a result, molecules 1 and 2 are best suggested to adopt conformations (A) and (B) (see the FIGURE), respectively, in solution.

 $^\dagger$  Configurations of OAc at C-1 and Me at C-10 have tentatively been assigned from the NOE results only.



**FIGURE. The conformations, NOE values, and J values for 1, 2, and 3.** 

**It can ba expected that reduction of the C-7** : **C-l 1 double bend of 2 may cause a considerable change**  in the conformation of its ten-membered ring. Several NOE measurements on the spectrum of 3 in CDCI<sub>3</sub> **(see the TABLE) have thus baen carried out to elucidate the stereostructure as well as the conformation of**  this molecule. The NOE enhancements observed on the H-6 quartet  $(\sim]5\%)$  and the H-8 multiplet  $(\sim]20\%)$ **on double irradiation of the H-7 signal, that observed on the H-8 signal (-15%) by saturating the H-13 doublet, and J-values concerning the H-7 signal [see FIG. (C)l gave conclusive evidence for the stereochemistry around the lactone ring. Moreover, in this case, saturation of the H-14 resonance caused an increase in the signal intensity of the H-l by about lO%, whereas no increase in ik signal intensity was caused by irradiating the H-15 signal. Thus, an examination of Dreiding models on the basis of these**  results suggested that the molecule of 3 has the stereostructure as represented in FIG. (C).<sup>†</sup>

**Incidentally, comparison of the chemical shifts of the compounds listed in the Table shows a large**  downfield shift of the H-5 signal and a considerable upfield shift of the H-15 signal on going from 1 or 2 **to 3. This fact is compatible with difference in molecular structure between** 1 **or 2 and 3, the chemical shift changes resulting mainly from the presence or absence of anisotropic shielding effects exerted by .the C-7** : **Cl 1 double bond.6 Since the oxirane ring anisotrop/ has a different effect upon H-l in** 1 **or 2 from that in 3, the upfield shift of the H-l signal is also explained by the models.** 

**Evidence for the absolute configuration of** 1 **may now ba provided by CD data on** 1 **or 2, in which an**  ap **unsaturated y lactone is fused through C**  B **and C,, to a ten-membered ring. The CD spectra of** 1 ([e] 249 **+2,420 and [8]222 -15,676 in MeOH) and 2 (j8]~, +2,910 and [8]2t5 -25,460 in isooctane) thus indicate\* the absolute configuration for 1 as well as the preferred conformation as represented in FIG. (A).** 

**Acknowledgement. We thank Dr. G . Lukacs of this Institute for his stimulating discussion.** 

## **REFERENCES**

- **1. R. Toubiana, M.-J. Toubiana and B. C. Das, Tetrahedron Lett. 207 (1972).**
- **2. R. Twbiana, M.-J. Toubiana and B. C. Das, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris c27Q, 1033 (1970). --**
- **3. J. H. Noggle and R. E. Schirmer, 'The Nuclear Overhauser Effect. Chemical Applications," Academic Press Inc. (1971).**
- **4. K. Takeda, K. Tori, 1. Horibe, M. Ohtsuru and H. Minato, J. Chem. Sot. (C) 2697 (1970).**
- 5. S. Sternhell, Rev. Pure Appl. Chem. (Australia) <u>14</u>, 15 (1964)
- **6. J. W. ApSimon, W. G. Craig, P. V. Demarco, D. W. Mathieson, L. Saunders and W. B. Whalley,**  Tetrahedron 23, 2357 (1967), and references cited therein.
- **7. K. Tori, K. Aono, K. Kitahonoki, R. Muneyuki, Y. Takano, H. Tanida and T. Tsuji, Tetrahedron Lett. 2921 (1966), and references cited therein.**
- **8. A. F. Beecham, Tetrahedron <u>28,</u> 5543 (1972), and references cited therein**